UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,742	09/17/2003	Magnus Bolmsjo	211.313	4686
28785 JOHN R LEY, I	7590 10/23/200 LLC		EXAMINER	
5299 DTC BLV	D, SUITE 340		MARCETICH, ADAM M	
GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/665,742	BOLMSJO ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Adam Marcetich	3761			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) Mr. John R. Ley, Applicant's Representative.	(3)				
(2) Adam Marcetich .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 21 October 2009	Time: <u>2 <i>PM</i></u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761 /Adam Marcetich/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant notes the declarations from inventors Magnus Bolmsjo and Sonny Schelin filed February 23 and 20, 2009, respectively. Applicant requests to clarify whether Examiner considered an unknown declaration of January 21, 2009 (3/30 Action, 23). Examiner notes that the January 21, 2009 date refers to arguments filed January 21, 2009, and that the Office Action mailed March 30, 2009 responded to both declarations filed February 23 and 20, 2009. The response to declarations addresses a rejection over Norton and Anderson

Examiner apologizes for any confusion among the dates for arguments and declarations.

Examiner notes that a pre-appeal conference was held Wednesday, 21 October 2009, with a decision to proceed to the Board of Patent Appeals. Examiner will respond to any new arguments regarding the new rejection over Rioux in view of Resseman.